

# UNITED STATEDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/177,700

10/23/98

GARDELL

S 97-813

WM01/0328

EXAMINER

LEONARD C SUCHYTA GTE SERVICE CORPORATION

MAILCODE: HQE03G13 600 HIDDEN RIDGE IRVING TX 75038 NGUYEN, H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2662

DATE MAILED:

03/28/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Application No. 09/177,700

Applicant(s)

Gardell et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Hanh Nguyen

Group Art Unit 2662



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	
Disposition of Claim	
X Claim(s) <u>1-19</u>	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed on	
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

Art Unit: 2662

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is not clear how a plurality of incoming calls are simultaneously transmitted to a selected terminal.

Note, a selected terminal can not receive a plurality of incoming calls simultaneously.

Claims 2-8 are rejected because they depend on claim 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2662

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Kumar et al.** (US Pat. No. 6,006,253) in view of **Pepper et al.** (US Pat. No. 5,930,700), and further in view of **Wong** (US Pat. No. 6,185,288 B1).

- Regarding claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 10 and 15, Kumar et al. discloses, in Fig. 1, a Gateway 122 that communicates between PSTN 170 and computer H.323 terminals. The gateway 122 provides appropriate conversions between different network types (a Gateway is in communication with a switch circuit network and translates PSTN signals into computer network signals). See col.3, lines 5-37. A Multipoint Control Unit 126 (MCU) comprises a Manager Control (MC) that is connected with the gateway 122 and H.324 terminals (Signal routing agent is in communication with gateway and terminals). See col.3, lines 30-50. Kumar et al. does not disclose the signal routing agent that is programmed to simultaneously transmit plural line appearance signals that identify origins of incoming calls to the selected terminal. Pepper et al. discloses, in Fig.3, a service control module 306 that is in communication with subscriber 's PDA 200. The PDA 200 connects with programmed software which is stored in database 208 to automatically transmit appearance signals to the screen of the PDA 200 via a graphical user interface (GUI). The GUI is represented as a screen in Fig. 7(line appearance signals). See col.5, lines 20-45. Wong discloses a system for performing call signalling in which a user initiates a call to a called agent (signal routing agent). The called agent determines the current terminal that the callee is using. Then a connection is made between the calling user/terminal and the current terminal of the callee (incoming call is transmitted to the selected terminal). See Abstract.

Art Unit: 2662

Therefore, it would have been obvious in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of **Pepper et al.** and the call signaling system as disclosed by **Wong** into the network 110 as disclosed by **Kumar et al.** in order to transmit incoming calls to a subscriber 's selected terminal.

- Regarding claim 3, **Kumar et al.** discloses, in Fig.2B, H.323 terminals within panel 210 communicate with the MCU 220 in a point-to-point manner on the H.245 control channel 222 and audio, video channel 228 (signal routing agent is in communication with respective terminals). See col.4, lines 30-45.
- Regarding claim 4, **Kumar et al.** discloses substantially the limitations of this claim in claim 1 above, except a configuration database storing terminal information. **Pepper et al.** discloses, in Fig.3, a database 308 that contains a copy of subscriber's phone book. See col.5, lines 33-40. Therefore, it would have been obvious in the art at the time the invention was made to use the database 308 of **Pepper et al.** 's system into the network 110 as disclosed by **Kumar et al.** to store information such as phone numbers.
- Regarding claim 5, **Kumar et al.** does not disclose the database comprises an association table. **Pepper et al.** discloses, in Fig. 8, a phone book screen 800 which provides a database for maintaining a list of names 806, phone numbers 808, and addresses 810. All of theses are arranged in a table. See col.9, lines 10-20. Therefore, it would have been obvious in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the table database of **Pepper et al.** 's into the network 110 as disclosed by **Kumar et al.** to determine the terminal corresponding to the dialed number.

Art Unit: 2662

- Regarding claim 9, this claim is directed to the same subject matter claim 1, except for at least one gate keeper in communication with the gateway, and controls the gateway to transmit signals to the signal routing agent. **Kumar et al.** discloses, in Fig.1, a Gatekeeper 124 that provides control access over network 110 in such a way the gatekeeper 124 is connected with the gateway 122 and MCU 126 (at least one gate keeper in communication with the gateway, and controls the gateway to transmit signals to the signal routing agent ). See col.3, lines 40-50.

- Regarding claim 11, **Kumar et al.** does not disclose a second Gatekeeper that connects to the first Gatekeeper. However, it is well known in the art to add a second Gatekeeper that connects to the first Gatekeeper, signal routing agent. Therefore, it would have been obvious in the art at the time the invention was made to add a second Gatekeeper into network 110 as disclosed by **Kumar et al.** to locate a gatekeeper that services a particular dialed number.
- Regarding claims 12 and 14, the limitations of these claims have been addressed in claim 7.
  - Regarding claim 13, the limitation of this claim has been addressed in claim 6.
- Regarding claim 16, **Kumar et al.** does not disclose the step of displaying which comprises scrollable list of the plural line appearances. **Pepper et al.** discloses, in Fig.7, a main menu screen 700. The screen 700 provides a window 706 which lists recently received messages and indicates the origin of the call, the type of the call. See col.8, lines 60-67. Therefore, it would have been obvious in the art at the time the invention was made to use the menu screen 700 of

Art Unit: 2662

**Pepper et al.** as a scrollable list of line appearances in **Kumar et al.** so that the called party can decide whether to response the incoming calls or not.

- Regarding claims 17 and 18, these claims are directed to the same subject matter claim 1, except for the following: **Kumar et al.** does not disclose a database that determines terminals corresponding to the dialed number. **Pepper et al.** discloses, in Fig.3, a database 308 that communicates with the PDA 200. The database 308 may contain a copy of the subscriber 's Phone book and Date book databases. Preferably, the database 308 automatically synchronizes with the information stored in the subscriber 's PDA 200 ( a database that determines terminals corresponding to the dialed number). See col.5, lines 30-45. Therefore, it would have been obvious in the art at the time the invention was made to use the database 308 of **Pepper et al.** 's system into the network 110 as disclosed by **Kumar et al.** to determine terminals corresponding to dialed number.

- Regarding claim 19, this claim is directed to the same subject matter claims 1 and 17.

Therefore, it is subject to the same rejection.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/177,700

Art Unit: 2662

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Courtney et al. (US Pat. No. 5,890,062) discloses a Satellite-Based Cellular Tecommunications System Utilizing a Multiple Registration Location Register.
- Stewart et al. (US Pat. No. 6,018,360) discloses Method of Switching a Call to a Multipoint Conference Call in a H.323 Communication Complaint Environment.
- Guy et al.(US Pat. No. 5,940,479) discloses System and Method for Transmitting Aural Information Between a Computer and Telephone Equipment.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-5445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached on (703) 305-4744. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 2662

or faxed to: (703) 308-6743 or (703) 305-3988

For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Dr.

Arlington VA, Sixth floor (Receptionist)

Hanh Nguyen

March 16, 2001

Ajit Patel
Primary Examiner